

1 Jess B. Millikan, SBN 095540
 2 Samuel H. Ruby, SBN 191091
 2 Judith A. Whitehouse, SBN 198176
 3 Bullivant Houser Bailey PC
 3 601 California Street, Suite 1800
 San Francisco, California 94108
 4 Telephone: 415.352.2700
 Facsimile: 415.352.2701
 5 jess.millikan@bullivant.com
 samuel.ruby@bullivant.com
 6 judith.whitehouse@bullivant.com

7 Attorneys for Defendant
 United States Fire Insurance Company

8
 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11 OAKLAND DIVISION

12 COPART INC.,

Case No.: C 07 2684 CW (EDL)

13 Plaintiff,

**US FIRE'S OBJECTIONS TO COPART'S
REPLY EVIDENCE**

14 vs.

15 CRUM & FORSTER INDEMNITY
 COMPANY¹, UNITED STATES FIRE
 16 INSURANCE COMPANY, and DOES 1-10,

Date: August 21, 2008
 Time: 2:00 p.m.

17 Defendants.

Action File: March 20, 2007
 Trial Date: November 10, 2008

18 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

¹ Dismissed by Order Upon Stipulation (6/15/07)

OBJECTION #1**(LARSON REPLY DECLARATION, EXHIBIT A)**

US Fire objects to Exhibit A (Rote Deposition) at 55:22-56:3 and 111:3-7.

In both instances, after answering the question posed, the witness made additional but non-responsive comments about issues of law. Motions to strike the non-responsive comments were promptly made. The motions should be sustained; or alternatively, because the comments concern issues of law, they should just be ignored.

OBJECTION #2**(LARSON REPLY DECLARATION, EXHIBIT D)**

US Fire objects to Exhibit D (Wills Deposition).

The testimony of the independent adjuster hired by US Fire to investigate Copart's claims is irrelevant to the interpretation of the contract. The contract speaks for itself, and the adjuster had nothing to do with its formation. There is no foundation of that he ever even saw the contract and no foundation that he has expertise to offer an opinion on any hypothetical issue. Insofar as Copart is merely arguing that the 2005-2006 policy's "Schedule of Locations" form and the "Property Statement of Values 8-8-05" spreadsheet are separate pieces of paper, that fact is obvious from the documents.

The Court should sustain US Fire's objections and exclude the evidence cited above from consideration.

DATED: August 7, 2008

BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC

By /s/ Samuel H. Ruby
 Jess B. Millikan
 Samuel H. Ruby
 Judith A. Whitehouse

Attorneys for Defendant
 United States Fire Insurance Company